UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,339	09/26/2003	Anubhav P.S. Narula	IFF-18-2	9682	
48080 759 INTERNATIONA	0 12/28/2006 L FLAVORS & FRAG	FYAMINER		INER	
521 WEST 57TH	521 WEST 57TH ST			MOSS, KERI A	
NEW YORK, NY	10019		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1743		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MONT	пс	12/28/2006	DAD	CD	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/672,339	NARULA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Keri A. Moss	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2006.						
• – •	<u> </u>					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5,8-10,20-23,26 and 27 is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,6,7,11-13,19,24 and 25 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ed. ed.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/672,339

Art Unit: 1743

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 13, 2006 has been entered.

Claims 2-13 and 19-27 are pending.

## Response to Amendment

2. Applicants Amendments filed October 13, 2006 have overcome the 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, rejections of the previous office action.

#### Oath/Declaration

There is an inconsistency between the filing date of U.S. Application No. 09/859,953 on the Oath and Declaration and the date in the USPTO records. The Oath lists the filing date as May 16, 2001 but the filing date of record is May 17, 2001. Please file a corrected application data sheet.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/672,339

Art Unit: 1743

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims **6**, **7**, **11-13**, **19**, **24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, it is unclear what the term "hydroxyalkenyl" represents. Does it represent a hydroxy alkene or a hydroxy alkyl?

In claims 6, 12 and 13, it is unclear what the term "lower alkyl" means. Does it mean an alkyl group with a low number of carbons?

Claims 11, 19 and 24 are rejected as dependent on claims 6, 7, 12 and 13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims **6 and 12** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Narula et al. (USP 5,665,598). In the abstract, Narula teaches the 6 C,5C- bicyclic molecule claimed in claims 6 and 12.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/672,339

Art Unit: 1743

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims **2 and 25** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narula et al. (USP 5,665,698). In column 1 lines 51-65 and column 2 lines 36-48, Narula teaches a compound of the structure of claim 2. The only difference being that claim 2 contains a double bond between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> carbons on the carbon chain coming off the oxygen, whereas Narula teaches only a single-bonded chain. The structures taught in Narula are fragrances. One of ordinary skill in the art would have

Art Unit: 1743

expected that simply adding a double bond to the structure disclosed in Narula would not change the fragrant properties of the compound. The inherent utility in the disclosed fragrant structures provide the motivation for modifying them. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add a double bond between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> carbons on the chain attached to the oxygen in the structure disclosed in Narula in order to have a fragrant compound.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims **3-5**, **8-10**, **20-23**, **and 26-27** are allowed.
- 13. Claims **7**, **11**, **13**, **19**, **and 24** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments, see Amendments, filed October 13, 2006, with respect to 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-27 has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,339 Page 6

Art Unit: 1743

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keri A. Moss whose telephone number is 571-272-8267.

examiner should be directed to Kerr A. Moss whose telephone number is or 1-272-0207

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)272-1700. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Keri A. Moss

Examiner

Art Unit 1743

KAM 12/21/06

Jill Warden
Super/risory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700